32) 11/16/01

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRETT T. CULVER,

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,

Plaintiff : CIVIL NO. 1:CV-01-0904

v. : (Judge Kane)

:

<u>et al</u>.,

Defendants

NOV 1 5 2001

FILED

HARRISBURG, PA

ORDER MARY E D'ANDREA, CLERI

Per Peruty Clerk

Background

Plaintiff, an inmate at the Mahanoy State Correctional Institution, Frackville, Pennsylvania, filed this federal civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is the plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. (Doc. No. 5).

Although prisoners have no constitutional or statutory rights to appointment of counsel in a civil case, the court does have broad discretionary power to appoint counsel under 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(e)(1). Tabron v. Grace, 6 F.3d 147, 153 (3d Cir. 1993), cert. denied, 510 U.S. 1196 (1994); Ray v. Robinson, 640 F.2d 474, 477 (3d Cir. 1981). The Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has stated, however, that appointment of counsel for an indigent litigant should only be made "upon a showing of special circumstances indicating the likelihood of substantial prejudice to him resulting, for example, from his probable inability without such assistance to present the facts and legal issues to the court in a complex but arguably

meritorious case." <u>Smith-Bey v. Petsock</u>, 741 F.2d 22, 26 (3d Cir. 1984).

Plaintiff's motion fails to set forth sufficient special circumstances or factors that would warrant appointment of counsel. Tabron, supra, at 155-56. In the pleadings submitted by plaintiff to date, he has demonstrated that he is capable of presenting comprehensible arguments. Furthermore, this court's liberal construction of pro se pleadings, Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), coupled with plaintiff's apparent ability to litigate this action pro se, mitigate against the appointment of counsel. Moreover, the legal issues are relatively uncomplicated, and the court can not say, at least at this point, that he will suffer substantial prejudice if they are forced to prosecute this case on his own.

Therefore, plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel will be denied. In the event, however, that future proceedings demonstrate the need for counsel, the matter may be reconsidered either <u>sua sponte</u> or upon a motion properly filed by the plaintiff.

AND NOW, THEREFORE, THIS 15 DAY OF OCTOBER, 2001, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is denied. (Doc. No. 5).

YVETTE KANE

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * MAILING CERTIFICATE OF CLERK * *

November 15, 2001

Re: 1:01-cv-00904 Culver v. Commonwealth of Penn

True and correct copies of the attached were mailed by the clerk to the following:

Brett T. Culver SCI-MAHANOY DD-3483 Mahanoy State Correctional Inst. 301 Morea Rd. Frackville, PA 17931

cc: Judge Magistrate Judge	() Pro Se Law Clerk () INS
U.S. Marshal	() Jury Clerk
Probation U.S. Attorney	
Atty. for Deft.	()
Defendant	
Warden	
Bureau of Prisons Ct Reporter	()
Ctroom Deputy	· ()
Orig-Security	
Federal Public Defender Summons Issued	<pre>() () with N/C attached to complt. and served by: U.S. Marshal () Pltf's Attorney ()</pre>
Standard Order 93-5	
Order to Show Cause	() with Petition attached & mailed certified mail to: US Atty Gen () PA Atty Gen () DA of County () Respondents ()
Bankruptcy Court Other	
ocnei	MARY E. D'ANDREA, Clerk
	0 /
DATE: //-/5-01	BY:

uty Clerk